

June 21,

Dear Paul -

I'm enthusiastic about the latter half of Part II.
It has that rare combination of abstractions and facts.

The first part strikes me as somewhat repetitive
of points already made in Part I.

Part I strikes me also as somewhat repetitive.
I had the thought that the insertion of some concrete
examples of your ^{ecological} philosophical points, - if necessary
with fewer elaborations of the latter - would strengthen
it.

In short, this papers ^{strikes me as} ~~are~~ satisfactory as they
stand, but could easily be made as good as the
latter half of Part II throughout, which is pretty good.

Excuse the scribble.

Yours as ever

Aldo