Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 09/01/93

Clark's Grebe Record Number: 83-22 5 May 1983 Classification: A-D

Cone Marsh, Louisa Co., IA

*James Sandrock

light phase Western Grebe at that time; IBL 53:48; 54:38

DOCUMENTATION

James P. Sandrock

LETTER

Bruce Peterjohn, 21 June 1983 (included with review)

REFERENCES

Field Reports: IBL 53:48

Records Committee: IBL 54:38

VOTE: 7-III COMMENT:

III, If Sandrock is accurately describing what he saw I have

no qualms of a "light-phase" Western Grebe.

III, Excellent description of light-phase (Clarks) Grebe.

- 83-22 105-K E. Ticonderoga Dr. Westerville, OH- 43081

21 June 1983

Dear Tom:

I'm looking forward to the I.O.U. meeting in October. I'll let you know about my travel plans and provide you with some slides at a later date.

My thoughts on the documentations are as follows:

Mississippi Kite: definitely a Northern Harrier
Black-legged Kittiwake: definitely a first-year Ring-billed Gull!
The absence of head and nape markings, bill color and tail shape eliminate the possibility of a kittiwake.

Laughing Gull: the description does not eliminate a sub-adult Franklin's Gull (which would have a similar wing pattern with no white separating the gray mantle from the black primaries.) Since she did not describe bill and leg color or the black hood in detail. I cannot accurately age this bird (and hence cannot identify it to species). Given its location in extreme western lowa, I would imagine that a Franklin's would be much more likely.

Black-headed Grosbeak: another problematical grosbeak sighting that does not sit very well with me. The description of the underparts is suggestive of a Black-head but is not detailed enough to be conclusive (the observer should have mentioned the belly and described the streaking pattern in more detail). The white eye line is suggestive of a Rose-breast. In addition, the observer was not familiar with the species and appeared rather indecicive with her own identification. Given these facts, I don't think this written description provides a conclusive basis for identifying this bird to either species.

Whooping Crane: I have a number of problems with this sighting. First, the fact the observer was a non-birder who observed the bird at a distance of 100 yards without binoculars makes me wonder about the accuracy of the description (could be accurately determine bill and leg color at that distance?). Secondly, the crane he described was a first-year bird that should still be accompanying its parents (by the way there were only 2 or 3 young produced last year out of the 70 or so cranes in the Aransas flock). Thirdly, it is rather unlikely that this species would be feeding along the shore of a man-made lake. Lastly, I invariably receive 1 or 2 Whooping Crane reports from somewhere in the Region each year (I also received 1 from Indiana this spring). These reports always come from casual or non-birders in very unlikely locations. While it is conceivable that an occasional crane might stray into extreme western Iowa or Missouri, such a sighting must be thoroughly described by a number of active birders (and hopefully accompanied by photos) before I will accept it.

Western Grebe: the description is pretty good for a light-phase bird. The best field mark is the head pattern which he described perfectly (white lores and white extending above the eye). The bill color is a little troublesome; light-phased grebes have orange-yellow bills while dark-phase birds have greenish-yellow bills. However, the description of a "bright yellow" bill is closer to a light-phase bird. Another characteristic that is frequently useful is back color. Light-phase birds have a grayish back that is lighter than the nape (as was accurately described for this bird); dark-phase grebes have uniformly black upperparts and nape. The best article describing these color phases is found in Western Birds (1981, Vol. 12 (1), pp. 41-46); I can send you a copy if you're interested.

Eastern Wood Pewee: very marginal description (so marginal that I probably won't include it in my report). I have problems with any pewee described as a black and white bird (the upperparts certainly aren't black and the underparts aren't uniformly white). In addition, Empidonax flycatchers frequently lack eye rings. Given this brief and inaccurate description and the observers apparent inexperience, I would have to describe this sighting

as questionable at best.

Gray-cheeked Thrush: another questionable sighting. The rusty tail of a Hermit can be rather difficult to view (especially for young birds in poor light). They also failed to mention the gray cheek patch. Given their inexperience, this sighting would best be treated as Catharus sp.

Several miscellaneous comments on some observations:

Yellow Rails: while the descriptions seem to come out of a field guide, from my experience, Yellow Rails look nothing like those pictured in the books. For example, they are not yellow at all but have cream-colored underparts and tan or buffy upperparts with rather indistinct dark streaks. If Iowa observers are seeing yellow-colored rails, I do not know what they are looking at.

Long-billed Dowitcher: basic plumaged long-bills cannot be identified by bill length and wing covert edgings!!!! I would suggest Mike Newlon read Pitelka's excellent monograph on the genus Limnodromus (1948, U. California Publ. Zool. 50: 1-108).before he attempts further identifications of dowitchers. While fall juveniles and breeding plumaged adults can be safely identified with caution (don't use the misinformation in the field guides), winter plumaged birds in migration cause real problems. I don't know of any proven field identification techniques for these then call notes dowitchers. (This letter is too long already, I will defer further discussion until October). Many birders are having similar problems. This spring, one state in the Region reported more long-bills than short-bills which is preposterous.

Godwits: I totally agree with your comment on godwit identification. Any May sighting of 46 godwits in this Region must certainly be Hudsonians.

Tom Kent-June 21, 1983 page 3

I have several requests:

- 1. Can you send me a better copy (or the original) of the Chestnut-collared Longspur documentation? I can't read the copy you sent me.
- 2. Can you send me a photo of the Vermilion Flycatcher? While a Vermilion Flycatcher should be unmistakable, there was a partially melanistic Scarlet Tanager in New York this spring that had a plumage pattern identical to a Vermilion Flycatcher (and was originally identified as one until someone familiar with both species saw the bird).

I will return both the photo and original promptly. I will feel more comfortable including both sightings in my spring report if I can study the available evidence in better detail.

I hope this information is useful to you. (I also hope it makes sense; it is getting quite late and I should have gone to bed long ago). Let me know if I can provide further assistance.

Sincerely,

Bruce Peterjohn

, .	DOCUMENTATION FORM for	extraordina	ry bird	sighti	ngs in	Iowa	83-22
What species?	Western Grebe			_How ma	ny?	1	11
Location?	Cone Marsh (Louisa Cour	nty).		1			
Type of habitat	? Marsh; open pond.		÷			n 9	
When? date(s):_	5 May 1983	time:	1430	to	1530	_	*
Who?your name a	nd address:James P.	Sandrock	•	190			_
others with y	ou:James G. Huntingt	on			fi		
others before	or after you:		Je.				
of all parts tail, legs, f The bir	rd(s) including only wha (bill, eye, head, neck, eet). Also mention voice d was significantly larg Grebe. Bird rode low in	back, wing, and behavi	tail, or.	throat, d Grebe	breast; Eared	, belly, Grebe;	under
which we over her face to with ship in the gong between Tail appure throad	as white in front, but vad to form a black cap we just above red eye. The ining whiteness of throat ightly above eye. Bill ys was long and, of cour bill and eye. Wings, be peared very short when be at. From rear the black deard from bird. Diviet med; the longest dive la	rery black of which covered black of the and lower was bright se, tapering lelly, legs, ird dived. The ad appear of behavior	n back. d top o neck and face; yellow, g-there and fee Breast red to typical	The bf head d cap continuous value of the coul was whose trial of Po	lack of and ext ontrast f face and sha ome whi d not b ite whi ngular diciped	nape exended do ed stark extended rply pointe disce e observation shape idae.	extended own cly inted. ernible oed. nded any dives
Similar species	and how eliminated:						
Did any one dis	sagree or have reservation	ons about io	lentific	ation?_	1	No.	4
If yes, expla	in:						
Viewing conditi	ions: give lighting, dist	tance (how m	neasured	l), and	optical	equipme	ent:
	(no shadows); 100 yds.						
seen all	ence with species and si other grebes on Iowa Cl persons consulted before	neck List.			ce with	this sp	ecies; have
How long before	e field notes made? On s	site	his for	m compl	eted?_	6 May	83
	Kent, Field Reports Edi					ity IA	52240