Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 09/07/93

Mississippi Kite 9 May 1987 Hickory Hill P., Iowa City, Johnson Co., IA *Jim Fuller IBL 57:81, 58:75 Record Number: 87-09 Classification: NA

DOCUMENTATION

Jim Fuller

REFERENCES

Field Reports: IBL 57:81 Records Committee: IBL 58:75 VOTE: 1-IV, 5-V, 1-VI

V. Possibly correct, but observer points out (and I agree) that the details are too sketchy from very brief observation to pass judgement. Several years ago, I was in the same place at the same time of year and thought I'd seen two Mississippi Kites. Within five minutes I realized they were Common Nighthawks very high up, but I was momentarily and absolutely convinced they were kites.

VI. See IBL 56:41-42 where some other amateur birders also thought a nighthawk was a Mississippi Kite (at the same location and nearly the same date!). Power of suggestion?

V. Details in documentation too sketchy and incomplete to make

a determination as to what this bird might have been.

V. The observation period was very brief and the description does not eliminate other species such as a male harrier.

IV. If the observer feels the sighting should be rejected, I

can only take his advice.

V. Nothing except shape and "pointed wings" to indicate Miss. Kite. Several possibilities, as suggested (obliquely) by observer.

Summary of Review of an Ornithologic Observation

by the Records Committee
of the Iowa Ornithologists' Union

SPECIES: Mississippi Kite

DATE SEEN: 5-9-87

SITE OF OBSERVATION: Hickory Hill P. - Iowa City

OBSERVERS: Jim Fuller

DATE OF REVIEW: 9-26-87

METHOD OF REVIEW: Vote by mail

CLASSIFICATION OF RECORD:

COMMENTS:

given were not complete enough to confirm this identification.

The opinions expressed here are based on the information available to the Committee and should not necessarily preclude an alternate interpretation by those who observed the bird firsthand.

Any action may be re-reviewed upon submission of additional evidence.

Explanation of Classification:

I = labeled, diagnostic specimen, photograph, or recording available for review by the Committee

II = acceptable sight record documented independently by 3 or more observers

III = acceptable sight record documented by 1 or 2 observers

IV = probably correct record, but not beyond doubt
V = record with insufficient evidence to judge

VI = probably incorrect identification, escapee, or otherwise unacceptable record

Classification is based on the highest category agreed upon by six of seven committee members.