Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 09/01/93

Hoary Redpoll Record Number: 82-02 23 Jan 1982 Classification: A-D

Pine Lake, Hardin Co., IA
*N. Slife, *Hollis, *H. Zaletel, *Robinson
to 02/22, photo by Hoogerheide P-36; IBL 52:28; 53:37

DOCUMENTATIONS

Nancy Slife, 23 Jan Richard J. Hollis, 27 Jan Hank Zaletel, 30 Jan John C. Robinson, 30 Jan

PHOTOGRAPH
John Hoogerheide, P-0036

REFERENCES TRI 50.00

Field Reports: IBL 52:28
Records Committee: IBL 53:37

VOTE: 5-I-P, 2-II
II, Photo not diagnostic.

I-P, I saw the bird briefly and concur.

I-P, Cearly a Hoary.

REVOTE (at meeting, 20 November 1982): 7-I-P

Summary of Review of an Ornithologic Observation

by the Records Commtittee

of the Iowa Ornithologists' Union

SPECIES: Hoary redpoll

DATE SEEN: 23-30 Jan 1982

SITE OF OBSERVATION: Pine Lake, Hardin Co., Iowa

OBSERVERS: Slife, Hollis, Zaletal, Robinson, Hoogerheide

DATE OF REVIEW: 22 August 1982

METHOD OF REVIEW: mail vote

CLASSIFICATION OF RECORD: Class II

This record was classified as a class II sighting rather than as a COMMENTS:

class I, because the photo submitted did not show two crucial features:

the bill and the undertail coverts.

The records committee was unanimous in its opinion that the bird was indeed a Hoary redpoll.

The opinions expressed here are based on the information available to the Committee and should not necessarily preclude an alternate interpretation by those who observed the bird firsthand.

Any action may be re-reviewed upon submission of additional evidence.

Explanation of Classification:

I = labeled, diagnostic specimen, photograph, or recording available for

review by the Committee II = acceptable sight record documented independently by 3 or more observers

III = acceptable sight record documented by 1 or 2 observers

IV = probably correct record, but not beyond doubt V = record with insufficient evidence to judge

VI = probably incorrect identification, escapee, or otherwise unacceptable record

Classification is based on the highest category agreed upon by six of seven committee members.

When and Lates, Continued: The Hoary Redfoll has come to the feeding stations every day from January 23 rd through today (February 9th) Five feeders are located on the east side of the cottage. These feeders are stocked with sunflower seeds and thistle seeds. The feeders are approximately from one (window fuder) to 15' in distance from the east bay window. The Hoary Redpoll often perche on branches of a mature sumae as close at 2'-4' from the bay window. Dave observed it many times in the past 18 clays at explanel and from above and below expland at this distance.

Description Continued ...

Belly: Silver White

Flank: 2 pencil-thin, broken, gray lines on each side

Under tail coverts: Pure white to the tip with no flecks.

Rump: Silvery white with no streaks or flecks ... Very slight tinge of pink coloration

Legs and feet: Black

Behavior: Have noticed agressiveness while feeding.

Sometimes

MUSUR A appears more restless or nervous than Common Redpoll.

Similiar Species, Continued:

Redpolls there grown to approximately 40-50 as of February 9,1982 Within this Flock of Common Redpolls there are many females which appear quite light in coloration. I have been able to observe many times a side-by-side comparison with the Hoary Redpoll and light, female Common Redpolls. The Hoary Redpoll oppears much lighter and silvery in coloration. This contrast is quite obvious. The Common Redpoils back and nape have an overall brownish cast with buff and black stripes on the back compared to the soft gray cast of the Hoary Redpoll's back with silver + black stripes - no buff coloration. Also the very fine, pencil-thin lines along the flank of the Hoary Redpoll differs from that of the heavy flank-lines of the Common Redpoll. The Hoary Redpoll's rump IRMANNAMENTALISMENTA and undertail coverts are without any flecks or stripes, but the Common Redpoll's rump and undertail coverts have flecks and streaks.

Jan. 39, 1982 . Jun at Maggie Sogstruke (la Minion)

Others with me that observed the Hoary Bedpoll at our feeders.

Jan. 25, 1982: Garnita Seward (Eldara) Observed the Hoary Redpoll Several times from 10:30 - 11:30 a.m. Jan. 26, 1982: Darnita Seward (Eldora) Bamora Sommerlot (Eldera) Phyllis Harris (Union) Beth Proescholdt (Ziscomb) all observed the Youry Bedfoll many. times, as close as 3' from 9:30 - 11:30 a.m. (Beth Procescholdt submits our Field Reports " from this area.) Jan. 27, 1982: Mr. and Mrs. Caluer Knight (Fowa City) (Just a very lines glimspe of it) afternoon Jan. 28, 1982: Mike Newlow } (Jour City)
Bick Itallie & (Jour City) (only a quick look at it) afternoon Jan. 30, 1982: Joe Schaufenbuel & ames John Robinson Dank Jalatel (Colo) Mark Proescholdb (Liscomb) Beth Prosescholdt (Listomb) all had an excellent wiw of the Doary Bedpoll as it frequently uisited the feeding stations in

the morning.

Jan. 31, 1982 . John and Maggie Loogerheide (Des Moines) Excellent men of the HO Hoary Gedpall in the morning and early afternoon. Feb. 4, 1982. Allen C. Hahre muscatine Excellent mew of the Hoary Bedpoll Observed it givite closely at 3-4. at all angles ... in the morning. all of the people listed swith the Syception of RICK HOLLIS, MIKE NEWLON, MIM CAL KNIGHT, had excellent opportunities to sobserve the Stoary Redpoll. It appeared at the feeders frequently and was seen by the observeres at eyelevel and from above eyelevel (resulting: in) 5.2. a good look at the undertail coverts) and from below eyelevel (good look at runs and : 35 back) The lighting was sexcellent, with a slight overcast most days. It was seen as close as 2-3. Everyone commented in what a good species swample this Hoary Gedpoll was. Identical in every way to the male Troony Acapall depocted in R.T. PETERSONS NEW field guide talthough the one here tat Pine Lake translucid quality) --- Much subster than the one in the quide was the consensus. of the observers in regard to the overall coloration. (continued)

cont.

approximately 40-45 Common Redpolls were feeding in the Same area as the Goary Redpoll. This flock included several light female Common Redpolls. There were many opportunities to compare these birds. The contrast of the Goary Redpoll to the light female Common Redpolls was quite evident and noted by all other observers.

Hancy Slife February 6, 1982

Several of the observers have photographed the Doary Redpoll. as soon as I receive a copy of a photograph. Il see send it to you.

Additional Observers:

February 7, 1982

James Huntington - Jowa Cety Bob Myers - Ivaterloo Francis Moore - Ivaterloo Bussell Hays - Ivaterloo B.J. and Sharon Rose - & maha, Neb.

All of these people had an excellent opportunity to observe the Hoary Redpall several times through out the day.)

		nary bird signtings in lowa	
What species? Hoary K	edpoll	How many?	
Location? / Mile NE	= d Eldorg		
Type of habitat? feeden			
When? date(s): 27 jan	vary 1982 time:	5' to around 3 PM	
Who?your name and address	: Richard Hollis 33	551 Lower W Branch Rd lowa (y 338 57240
others with you: MCN	lewlon + N. Slief (sp	?) (INCIVE liledy has photos)	
others before or after	you: NSILED + other	~	
of all parts (bill, eye	uding only what you obs , head, neck, back, win mention voice and beha	erved. Include size, shape, dg, tail, throat, breast, bell vior.	etails y, under
		C. Redpolls that when were	on thistle seed
feeders + ground	beneaths feeden-		
No voice heard as	11	1 Hoary Commo	m =
Distinguishing lecture	3.	1 100	- H dowly was significantle different from the
, If this is d	it & color of Rapolls u	ie saw x	was significantle
the light colo	r appeared to be the to	darkness >	different from the
a. a gy	ay vs brown (Common) o	D	other binds
C striper	note us dusto be ground	helps	
a. The underte	al coverts appeared we smaller and to me	thinner at the most of the time the b	nd was facing away
4 Unfortemate	y the entire time we ob	served the bird, it held to w	ings ingrity or was
dosed + w	e could not see us	thinner-atthe most of the time the b served the bird, it held its w rump	its GD
Similar species and how	eliminated: Compon Ra	port see about	
		identification? No	
Did any one disagree or	have reservations about	identification?	
If yes, explain:		1)	
	e lighting, distance (ho light overcast, 6-10 f on note a factor	w measured), and optical equipment), bbs thru windows	pment:
Previous experience with	n species and similar on	es: none w. Hoory.	
References and persons of	consulted before writing	description: None after seein	g bind
		_this form completed? \leq 1 \hat{s}	
		Richards Street, Iowa City I	

	BIRDS: VERIFYING DOCUMENTATION OF AN EXTRAORDINARY SIGHT RECORD.
1.	Species HOARY REDPOLL 2. Number:
3.	Location HOME OF NANCY SLIFE ON PINE LAKE, ELDORA TOWA
4.	Date: JANUARY 30, 1982 5. Time Bird seen: MANY TIMES IN THE
	Description of size, shape and color-pattern (describe in great detail all parts of the plumage, and beak and feet coloration, in addition, to the diagnostic characteristics, but include only what actually was seen in the field): BIRD SAME SIZE AS REDPOURED CAP - HEAD GRAY WHITE AS WELL AS NAPE AND BACK - RUMP
	WHITE WITH NO COLORING OR STREAKS - TAIL GRAY WITH WHITE EDGING - BEAST WHITE WITH ONE FAINT BROWN STREAK ON EACH SIDE - WHITE WING BARS - BLACK CHIN - WINGS DARK GRAY - UNDERSIDE OF BIRD WHITE TO
	TAIL TIP - SLIGHTLY DARKER WHITE WHERE LEGS EMERGE FROM BODY
7.	Description of voice, if heard: NOT HEARD
	Description of behavior: FORAGING FOR SEED ON GROUND PERCHED IN NEARBY SUMMAC Habitat - general: LAKE EDGE, TIMBERED specific: SIDE YARD OF COTTAGE
11	Similarly appearing species which are eliminated by questions 6, 7 & 8, Explain: OTHER COMMON REDPOLLS WERE WHITE AND BUFF ON HEAD, NAPE, BACK AND BACK OF TAIL, SIDES HEAVILY STREAKED, MALES RED ON BREAST RUMPS STREAKED WITH BUFF Distance (how measured)? THREE FEET 12. Optical equipment:
	None NEEDED Light (sky, light on bird, position of sun in relation to bird and you): SKY WERCAST, SUN AT OUR BACKS
14	Previous experience with this species and similarly appearing species:
	. Other observers: NANCY SLIFE, BETH PROESCHOLDT
16	. Did the others agree with your identification? YES
	. Other observers who independently identified this bird: JOE SCHAUFENBUEL JOHN ROBINSON
18	. Books, illustrations and advice consulted, and how did these influence this description:
	BIRDS OF WORTH AMERICA - ROBBINS
	FIELD GUIDE TO THE BIRDS - PETERSON
19	. How long after observing this bird did you first write this description?
	Hark Zaletel Address: 715 WEST ST COLO, IA 50651
S	ignature
I	Date: JANUARY 30, 1982 City, State:

Species: Hoary Redpoll

Documentation Form
Number: 1

82-02

Location: Eldora, Iowa, Hardin Co., Eldora Twsp.

Habitat: Residential area with sumac and birch trees bordering a large lake which was frozen at the time of observation.

Dates: 30 Jan. 1982 Time: Intermittently between 7:30 am to 9:00 am Observer: John C. Robinson/P.O. Box 1015/Welch Ave. Station/Ames, Iowa 50010

Other Observers: Joe Schaufenbuel

Description: Voice was not heard. When seen, this bird was always with Common Redpolls, Pine Siskins and American Goldfinches. When alarmed it flew with the other redpolls to seek cover. The Hoary Redpoll was quite tame and allowed close approach (within two feet) when observers were inside the house which was adjacent to the bird feeders at which the bird was seen. About the size of the goldfinches, siskins and Common Redpolls with which it was associating, this bird was obviously a redpoll as it had a brigh

which it was associating, this bird was obviously a redpoll as it had a bright red cap and a black chin. It was identified as a Hoary based on the following characteristics: a) undertail coverts white without any dark markings; b) dark streakings on front of breast absent; streakings on side severely restricted; c) white, unstreaked rump with a very slight shade of pink; d) back coloration pale gray; e) breast coloration a frosty white.

Similar Species: Common Redpolls have a darker overall coloration, a streaked rump and breast, and darker undertail coverts.

Harris' Sparrow: this species is much larger and has black

more extensively on the chin and chest as well as on the crown. Rump is dark.

Agreement: The other observer agreed with the identification.

Viewing conditions: Light conditions were excellent; estimated distance was

0.6 meters to 8 meters; optical equipment: Pentax 10x50 binoculars.

Previous experience: I have seen many Common Redpolls prior to this observation.

This was my first Hoary Redpoll.

References: Field Guide to North American Birds by C.S. Robbins Field Guide to the Birds by R.T. Peterson

This report was written six hours after the bird was seen.