Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 09/01/93

Hoary Redpoll
27 Jan 1982
Pocahontas, Pocahontas Co., IA
\*Wallace Jardine
IBL 52:28, 53:37

Record Number: 82-03 Classification: NA

DOCUMENTATION

Wallace E. Jardine

REFERENCE

Field Reports: IBL 52:28
Records Committee: IBL 53:37
VOTE: 4-III, 2-IV, 1-V

Documentation did not mention crissal area, did not mention area of unstreaking on rump. Bill was not mentioned. 'Red' rump? -a Hoary should be pink.

Not a great description -- but unstreaked rump diagnostic. Did not see undertail coverts, however.

Record would be better if undertail coverts had been described.

? Two features (white rump, overall color) enough. Not a complete description.

Didn't see rump.

Description not detailed enough for this species.

No description of undertail coverts.

REVOTE (at meeting, 20 November 1982): 3-III, 4-IV

## Summary of Review of an Ornithologic Observation

by the Records Commtittee

of the Iowa Ornithologists' Union

SPECIES: Hoary redpoll

DATE SEEN: 27 Jan. 1982

SITE OF OBSERVATION: Pocahontas, Pocahontas Co., Iowa

ORSERVERS: W. Jardine

DATE OF REVIEW: November 1982

METHOD OF REVIEW: discussion followed by secret ballot

CLASSIFICATION OF RECORD:

COMMENTS: The records committee regretfully classed this record as a IV for two reasons. First, several diagnostic features, most notably the size and shape of the bill, and the pattern of the undertail coverts. were not discussed in the reprt. Second, the need for a conservative policy in the acceptance of records of a species so dificult to identify.

The opinions expressed here are based on the information available to the Committee and should not necessarily preclude an alternate interpretation by those who observed the bird firsthand.

Any action may be re-reviewed upon submission of additional evidence.

Explanation of Classification:

I = labeled, diagnostic specimen, photograph, or recording available for review by the Committee

II = acceptable sight record documented independently by 3 or more observers

III = acceptable sight record documented by 1 or 2 observers

IV = probably correct record, but not beyond doubt V = record with insufficient evidence to judge

VI = probably incorrect identification, escapee, or otherwise unacceptable record

Classification is based on the highest category agreed upon by six of seven committee members.

1.	Species HOARY REDPOLL 2. Number
3.	Location RURAL POCAHONTAS, IA.
4.	Date: 2-27-82 5. Time Bird Seen: 7:30 AM to 7:31 AM
6.	Description of size, shape and color-pattern (describe in great detail all parts of the plumage, and beak and feet coloration, in addition, to the diagnostic characteristics, but include only what actually was seen in the field):
1.	GENERAL SIZE & COLORATION OF COHHON REDPOLL.
	, APPEARANCE . MORE WASHED OUT & PALE THAN COMHON REDPOLL,
3	RUMP WAS UNSTREAKED WITH A DEFINATE RED WASH,
	THIS BIRD WAS OBSERVED IN THE PRESENCE OF TWO COMMON
	REPPOLLS AS ALL THREE WEKE FEEDING FROM A THISTLE
	FEEDER, A GOOD VIEW OF THE BACK + RUMP WAS POSSIBLE.
7.	Description of voice, if heard: NOT HEARD.
8.	Description of behavior: FEEDING FROM THISTLE FEEDER.
9.	Habitat - general: BOUNDED ON THREE SIDES BY 100+ ACRE FIELDS.
* 4.	SPECIFIC: THISTLE FEEDER LOCATED NEXT TO A ZO LINDEN. THEE ABOUT 30' NORTH OF HOUSE.
10.	Explain: COHHON REPPOLL. THIS BIRD WAS PALER & HAP A RED WASHED UNSTREAKED RUMP.
11.	Distance (how measured)?
	30' EYEBALL, IOX BIDOCULARS,
13	Light (sky, light on bird, position of sun in relation to bird and you):  RP -> - CESUN EARLY MORNING SUN, CLEAR SKY,
14	Previous experience with this species and similarly appearing species:
15	OF COHHON REPPOLL. Other observers: NODE.
16	. Did the others agree with your identification?
	• Other observers who independently identified this bird: NONE.
18	escription: Peterson's FIELD GUIDE & BIRDS OF NORTH AMERICA
	How long after observing this bird did you first write this description?
51	gnature: Wallace & Jardine Address: RR 2 ROX 9
D	City, State: BOCAHONTAS, IA, 50574