Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 07/17/93

1

Iceland Gull
9 Mar 1990
LD # 15, Scott Co., IA
P. Petersen
IBL 60:64, 69, 62:22

Record Number: 90-13 Classification: NA

DOCUMENTATION

Peter C. Petersen

REFERENCES

Field Reports: IBL 60:64, 69 Records Committee: IBL 62:22

VOTE: 4 A-D, 3 NA

A-D: The only other gull I could confuse this document with is Glaucous and his comparison to Ring-billed and Herring Gulls in size seems to rule out that possibility. We saw our first Iceland Gull this year in the arctic and I felt the size was about the same as described.

NA: Description and discussion of similar species is too brief to evaluate how well bird was seen and how to assess whether identification is conclusive. This is not an easy bird to be certain about and it deserves more attention to detail than is demonstrated in this documentation.

A-D: A brief but adequate description. Combination of pale buff plumage and entirely black bill rule out all but Thayer's Gull. To eliminate Thayer's is more difficult, however. Shape and size of bill were not given, nor was wing length--both of which would have been helpful. The uniformly colored wing and tail would eliminate Thayer's Gull.

NA: Brief details support identification as Iceland Gull. However I cannot accept this documentation until we get some clarification as to which state this bird was in.

A-D: The tail description white w/buff and a narrow white terminal band eliminates a light Thayer's Gull and size and black bill color eliminates Glaucous Gull. I would have liked a comparison of bill size. I also think a rare bird such as Iceland Gull should always be flushed so the flight details can be described.

NA: I cannot conclusively say whether this bird should be recognized as an Iowa bird or not based on the description of it being on a floating cake of ice along (i.e. near?) shore just upstream from Lock 15. This same description of location could just as easily be in Illinois. The description of the bird itself sounds real good for Iceland Gull. I just do not know where the bird is in relation to either Iowa or Illinois. That information can not be extracted from the documentation. A more in depth similar species elimination on Thayer's is needed here also. Description is not very detailed in key areas of identification and elimination of similar species.

A-D: Adequate details help to eliminate Glaucous Gull. Uniform coloration on body, wings, and tail with white narrow band at tip of tail eliminates Thayer's Gull.
RESULT OF 2ND REVIEW: 4 A-D, 3 NA

A-D: To me the document is good enough to accept, as for

Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 07/17/93
Iceland Gull 9 Mar 1990 RC No. 90-13 (cont)

location of bird I have to accept that the bird was in Iowa or it shouldn't have been sent to the committee.

NA: I think the location of this observation is a definite problem. According to the documentation, this bird was on a floating ice cake "along shore just upstream from lock [15]". Since the Mississippi flows pretty much east-west at Lock & Dam 15, the only way to view a bird from 75 feet with the sun at one's back at 11:00 a.m. would be to stand on the Illinois, not the Iowa shore. This apparently puts the bird about 75 feet from the Illinois shore since it remained on the floating ice cake and didn't fly around. In "When is a Bird in Iowa? (Kent, IBL 55:79-80), the eastern boundary of Iowa is defined as "the middle of the main channel of the Missisippi River". Further, "Since these river boundaries [i.e., the Mississippi] were defined before navigation channels were made, the main channel is intepreted as half way across the body of water that comprises the primary channel of the river". In looking at an Iowa state map, it's hard to see how a bird could be 75 feet from the shore anywhere above Lock & Dam 15 and also be in both states. As I interpret it above, it doesn't seem possible that this bird was in Iowa. Besides the location, I still think the description is too perfunctory to indicate that this bird was well seen, well studied, and well described. I didn't see the recent bird at Red Rock reported to be an Iceland Gull. Apparently it had many features of Iceland but did have some sort of tail band. Thus, the presence or absence of a tail band may not be a simple matter.

A-D: First, I agree this documentation is brief and more details would have been nice. However, I am not convinced that any extra detail would have substantially added to the documentation. The size, general description of plumage, black bill, uniform wings and non-banded tail accurately describe Iceland Gull. Glaucous Gulls do not have black bills in this plumage, and Thayer's "are easily separated from that species [Iceland] by their solid tail band" (Bendorf, IBL 56:3). Next, the question of whether the bird was in Iowa--the observer states it was seen in Scott County, Iowa as well as in Illinois. I will accept this as indicative of the fact that at some time during the 10-minute observation, the bird did occur in Iowa.

NA: With regard to location, this record should be NA due to lack of information as to which state this bird was in. With regard to actual identification, I agree with the NA votes which express concern as to how well the bird was seen, and whether there was sufficient detail to eliminate Thayer's.

A-D: I think the description white w/buff and a narrow white terminal band is diagnostic to Iceland Gull.

NA: As noted before, it is impossible to say whether this bird is in Iowa or Illinois therefore I have to turn this record down.

A-D: Acceptable. The observer definitely needs to be specific as to which state the bird was seen in. Also, the observer hurts his chances for the birds which have been seen by him to be accepted by the Records Committee when he is so sketchy on his details of the birds seen. It would be far better to have an

Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 07/17/93
Iceland Gull 9 Mar 1990 RC No. 90-13 (cont)

over-abundance of details for an observed bird than just a few details.

ILLINOIS DOCUMENTATION FORM FOR EXTRAORDINARY BIRD SIGHTINGS

RECORD NUMBER

1.	Species: Number seen: /	
	(If known) Age: 1sturter Sex: ? Plumage: 1sturater	
2.	Location (including county): Lock 15 - justupstream R. I. Co. II. + Scottle: #x.	
3.	Date(s): March. 9, 1990 Time of day: 11:00 to 11:10 AH.	
4.	Observers Your name: Peter C: Petersen Phone: (3/9) 355-705/	
	Address: 235 Me Clellan Blvd. Davenport. IA. zip 52803	
	Others present: none with me	
	Others before or after you:	
5.	Describe the habitat the bird(s) was found in and the surrounding habitat:	
	Ice cake floating on Miss. R along shore just	

upstream from lock- urban area around Rever

6. Description: Describe in great detail (but only include what actually was seen in the field (the size, shape and color pattern of the bird(s). Include a description of the bill, eye, legs, feet, tail, body and wings as well as other diagnostic characteristics.

white gull with buffy spotting, Legs pale pink, bill black, exclude Seen standing with Herving & Ring-Willed Gulls for direct

5120 comparison - almost size of Herving Mantle very uni form white with buff, a bove & below, tail same, white nerrowband attip, underports white, but & speckled

Species: Iceland buil Date	seen: March 9/1990 Observer: Kill etersas
7. Similar species (explain how eliminat Glaucous Quil-birdues Thayer's bull- uniform of	ed): toosmall, billenterely black. 90-13
8. Describe the bird's behavior: standi	ng ouice aith i mu. Herring, lad Herring An imme Thayers was nearby.
9. Describe the song or call notes heard:	not distinguished from a thengulls
10. Viewing conditions: Optical equipment used (type, power)	: 10x40 reissbruers, 30xp9ui Ptsope outripod
Closest distance to bird (how measure	ed): 75'est
Lighting and weather: Sun be him	lobsener
Was the bird photographed? nd By who	om?Attached?
11. Summarize previous experience with this	
12. Other observers who did not agree with	the identification (explain):none
13. List books and illustrations consulted this description? Checked Geo but the padid no uro te first.	and advice received. How did these influence guide, Harrison's Scaberds & Grant's Gulls, tinfluence the description which t
14. How long after observing the bird(s) be 15. How long after observing the bird(s) be	efore field notes completed? 10 unin.
Mail this completed form to: Avian Economic Dept. of	cology Program, Natural Heritage Division Conservation, Springfield, IL 62701
schieved simply by returning there again in care species, or a common species out of se whare this experience with the scientific c	ies during a season of abundance, verification is season. If, however, the observation involves a ason or at an unusual location, and you wish to ommunity, special documentation is necessary.

An acceptable documentation consists of one or more of the following: a collected, diagnostic specimen; a diagnostic photograph; a diagnostic recording of the bird's voice; or, an accurate and detailed written description of the observation. It must be emphasized that a request for documentation is not an affront, but an effort to perpetuate a record by obtaining concrete evidence which may be permanently preserved for all to examine. This procedure is required for every extraordinary observation irrespective of the observer.