Records Committee, Iowa Ornithologists' Union Printed: 09/07/93

Western Tanager
22 Apr 1986
Decorah, Winneshiek Co., IA
*Harriet Hovde
IBL 56:91; 57:78

Record Number: 86-02 Classification: A-D

DOCUMENTATION

Harriet/Oivind Hovde REFERENCES

Field Reports: IBL 56:91 Records Committee: IBL 57:78

VOTE: 7-III

III, This single documentation gives minimal description (no explanation of why the "knew immediately it had to be a tanager because of size and shape," but it is hard to imagine what else the bird could have been. The description is indeed brief, but appears to be diagnostic.

III, Although the observer has no experience with this species, the description and good view are convincing.

III, Short and somewhat sketchy documentation but description of color of bird appears to be adequate to describe this species and eliminate any others. A description of behavior and of all parts of bird would have been better. Three other people observed this bird; they should have sent a documentation as well to help corraborate this record. Can anyone vouch for the reliability of this observer.

III, Even though the documentation was somewhat incomplete I believe the I.D. is correct because of the obvious field marks shown by the male of this species.

III, Really a poor overall documentation--"a tanager because of the size and shape"!!? Tough bird to misidentify though.

III, Brief description, but says field notes taken at the time.

Summary of Review of an Ornithologic Observation

by the Records Committee of the Iowa Ornithologists' Union

SPECIES: Western Tanager

DATE SEEN: 22 and 24 April 1986

SITE OF OBSERVATION: Decorah, Java

OBSERVERS: Harriet Houde

DATE OF REVIEW: 9-1-86

METHOD OF REVIEW: Vote via mail

CLASSIFICATION OF RECORD:

COMMENTS: Vote: 7 III

The Records Committee was in agreement that while the documentation might have contained more detailed information it was convincing for this bird which has such obvious field marks.

The opinions expressed here are based on the information available to the Committee and should not necessarily preclude an alternate interpretation by those who observed the bird firsthand.

Any action may be re-reviewed upon submission of additional evidence.

Explanation of Classification:

I = labeled, diagnostic specimen, photograph, or recording available for review by the Committee

II = acceptable sight record documented independently by 3 or more observers

III = acceptable sight record documented by 1 or 2 observers

IV = probably correct record, but not beyond doubt
V = record with insufficient evidence to judge

VI = probably incorrect identification, escapee, or otherwise unacceptable record

Classification is based on the highest category agreed upon by six of seven committee members.

RP 2 D. 153 Per 14 5022 1